# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS **FILED**

### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

# NOV 0 5 2004

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

# WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT; SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY,

Plaintiffs - Appellees,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR; GALE A. NORTON, Secretary U.S. Department of the Interior; UNITED STATES BUREAU OF RECLAMATION; ELUID MARTINEZ, Commissioner of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation; LESTER A. SNOW, Regional Director of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE; JAMIE RAPPAPORT CLARK, Director of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; MICHAEL SPEAR, Operations Manager of the California/Nevada Operations Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Region; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; DONALD EVANS, Secretary, United States Department of Commerce; NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE; PENELOPE DALTON, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries at Commerce; REBECCA LENT, Dr., Regional Administrator of the U.S. Marine Fisheries Service;

No. 03-15194

D.C. No. CV-00-07124-OWW Eastern District of California, Fresno

#### ORDER

RECEIVED MORISSET, SCHLOSSER, JOZWIAK & MCGAW

NOV 0 8 2004

MAIL EXPRESS HAND FAX E-MAIL INTERNET DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; DONALD EVANS, Secretary, United States Department of Commerce; NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE; PENELOPE DALTON, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries at Commerce; REBECCA LENT, Dr., Regional Administrator of the U.S. Marine Fisheries Service;,

Defendants - Appellees,

YUROK TRIBE,

Defendant-intervenor - Appellant,

and,

# HOOPA VALLEY TRIBE,

Defendant-intervenor,

v.

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT; NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff-Intervenors - Appellees.

Before: GOODWIN, TASHIMA, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

The panel has voted unanimously to deny both petitions for rehearing. Judge Clifton has voted to deny both petitions for rehearing en banc, and Judges Goodwin and Tashima recommended denial of both petitions for rehearing en banc.

k

The full court has been advised of both petitions for rehearing en banc and no active judge has requested a vote on whether to rehear the matter en banc. Fed. R. App. P. 35.

The petitions for rehearing are DENIED and the petitions for rehearing en banc are DENIED.